| 1 | | |
|----|---|---------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 5 | DISTRICT OF NEVADA | |
| 6 | * * * | |
| 7 | STEVEN J. IWANISZEK, | Case No. 2:17-CV-2918 JCM (BNW) |
| 8 | Plaintiff(s), | ORDER |
| 9 | v. | |
| 10 | PRIDE TRANSPORT, INC., | |
| 11 | Defendant(s). | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | Presently before the court is the matter of Iwaniszek v. Pride Transport, Inc., case | |
| 14 | number 2:17-cv-02918-JCM-BNW. The Ninth Circuit referred this matter back to the court | |
| 15 | for the fillinear purpose of determining whether plantair Stephen 3. Iwamszek s in forma | |
| 16 | pauperis status should continue on appear of whether the appear is irrivolous of taken in bac | |
| 17 | Talul. (Referral Notice, ECT No. 33). | |
| 18 | After considering the <i>Ghazani</i> factors, the court dismissed this case without prejudice | |
| 19 | for twantszek's famure to the a second amended complaint as directed by the court in its | |
| 20 | February 17, 2021 screening order. (ECF Nos. 29, 30). He failed to do so even though the | |
| 21 | court gave him clear instructions on how to do so (ECF No. 22), afforded him extra time to | |
| 22 | do so (ECF No. 27), and warned him that not doing so could lead to dismissal. (<i>Id.</i>). | |
| 23 | • • • | |
| 24 | • • • | |
| 25 | • • • | |
| 26 | • • • | |
| 27 | • • • | |
| 28 | | |

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge . . .

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that the court certifies that any in forma pauperis appeal from the court's order and judgment dismissing this case (ECF Nos. 29, 30) would not be taken in good faith under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

DATED June 23, 2021.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE